



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/507,353

09/10/2004

Frank Hundscheidt

P16486-US1

5673

27045

7590

04/22/2009

ERICSSON INC.  
6300 LEGACY DRIVE  
M/S EVR 1-C-11  
PLANO, TX 75024

EXAMINER

BALAOING, ARIEL A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/22/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/507,353	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUNDSCHIEDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ARIEL BALAOING	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARIEL BALAOING. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) John Han (Reg No 41,403). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: WINCHELL et al ( US 2002/0151321 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed differences between prior art of record and applicant's invention. Further search and consideration would be needed in view of applicant's proposed amendments to Claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ariel Balaoing/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	
---	--